

Analysis of Conventional Ileostomy Closure Outcome in Enteric Perforation

K Vishal Vikas

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, RVS Medical College, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: The present study was conducted for assessing conventional ileostomy closure outcome in enteric perforation.

Materials & Methods: 50 patients were enrolled. All the patients underwent the closure of temporary ileostomy was carried out as per unit protocol after 8-12 weeks. Closure of temporary ileostomy was done under general/spinal anesthesia with a peristomal skin incision, mobilization, and a sutured anastomosis. All the results were recorded in Microsoft excel sheet and were subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS software.

Results: Procedures were carried out under spinal anesthesia in 70 percent of the patients. Mean operative time was 76.2 minutes. Perioperative bleeding was the most common perioperative complication found to be present in 20 percent of the patients. While postoperative pain was seen in 30 percent of the patients. Mean time to feeding postoperatively was 5.5 days.

INTRODUCTION

Intestinal perforation is a common cause of peritonitis necessitating emergency surgical intervention. Perforation of the bowel from typhoid perforation is a serious abdominal complication. The prevalence of typhoid fever is gradually decreasing worldwide; however, it still remains endemic in the Indian subcontinent. Although, intestinal hemorrhage is the most common complication of typhoid fever yet intestinal perforation continues to be the most frequent cause of its high morbidity and mortality. In general, hemorrhage and perforation occur in the terminal ileum secondary to necrosis of Peyer's patches at 2-3 weeks after the onset of the disease.^{1, 2} Mortality rates of typhoid intestinal perforation (TIP) cases are reported to be between 5% to 62%. Perforation of terminal ileum is a cause for acute obscure peritonitis, heralded by exacerbation of abdominal pain associated with tenderness, rigidity and guarding, most pronounced over right iliac fossa. However, for many patients in a severe toxic state, there may be obscured clinical features with resultant delays in diagnosis and adequate surgical intervention. While early surgical procedures are regarded as definitive treatments along with preoperative resuscitation and post-operative intensive care, the methods that should be used in surgery are still contentious.3, 4 Hence; the present study was conducted for assessing conventional ileostomy closure outcome in enteric perforation.

Conclusion: Conventional stoma closure is safe and feasible when patients are selected appropriately.

Keywords: Ileostomy, Closure, Perforation.

*Correspondence to:
Dr. K Vishal Vikas,
Assistant Professor,
Department of General Surgery,
RVS Medical College, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Article History:
Received: 08-10-2017, Revised: 02-11-2017, Accepted: 29-11-2017
Access this article online

Access this article online	
Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2017.3.6.123	

MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study was conducted in Department of General Surgery, RVS Medical College, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh (India) for assessing conventional ileostomy closure outcome in enteric perforation.

All consecutive patients between the ages of 18 years and 70 years. Only those patients who underwent temporary ileostomy following bowel surgery for enteric perforation and which were Widal and/or Biopsy and/or Blood Culture proven enteric perforation were enrolled. 50 patients were enrolled. All the patients underwent the closure of temporary ileostomy was carried out as per unit protocol after 8-12 weeks. Closure of temporary ileostomy was done under general/spinal anesthesia with a peristomal skin incision, mobilization, and a sutured anastomosis. All the results were recorded in Microsoft excel sheet and were subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS software.

RESULTS

Mean age of the patients was 35.3 years. 90 percent of the patients were males while the remaining were females. Mean hemoglobin, total protein and albumin levels were found to be 11.5 gm/dL, 6.5 gm/dL and 3.7 gm/dL respectively. Procedures were carried out under spinal anesthesia in 70 percent of the

patients. Mean operative time was 76.2 minutes. Perioperative bleeding was the most common peri-operative complication found to be present in 20 percent of the patients. While postoperative pain was seen in 30 percent of the patients. Mean time to feeding postoperatively was 5.5 days.

Table 1: Biochemical variables

Biochemical variables	Mean	SD
Hemoglobin (gm/dL)	11.5	1.3
Total protein (gm/dL)	6.5	1.5
Albumin (gm/dL)	3.7	0.8

Table 2: Distribution of patients according to type of
en est heele

anestnesia		
Type of anaesthesia	Number	%
GA	15	30
SA	35	70
Total	50	100

Table 3: Operative time (mins)

Variable	Operative time
Mean	76.2
SD	13.8

DISCUSSION

Typhoid fever, caused by Salmonella typhi and paratyphi, remains a serious systemic illness in underdeveloped and developing nations where unhealthy environmental conditions prevail as a result of poor public health measures. It is a multisystemic illness which is transmitted through the faecal-oral route by ingestion of contaminated food and/or water. Typhoid fever is characterised by fever, headaches, joint pains, profound weakness, diarrhoea, acute respiratory symptoms (cough), a maculopapular rash and abdominal pain.4- 6 While control of the infection has been achieved in developed countries by effective public health measures, developing countries continue to bear the burden of the disease, principally because many communities still fall short of standards for drinking water, hygiene and sanitation.7-9 Intestinal perforation, most common in the ileum, is the most serious complication of typhoid fever and ileitis, with mortality rates ranging between 20% and 60% in the West African sub-region.¹⁰⁻ 12

Mean age of the patients was 35.3 years. 90 percent of the patients were males while the remaining were females. Mean hemoglobin, total protein and albumin levels were found to be 11.5 gm/dL, 6.5 gm/dL and 3.7 gm/dL respectively. Procedures were carried out under spinal anesthesia in 70 percent of the patients. Pandit N et al presented dual case of spontaneous closures of an ileostomy and a colostomy. Two male patients presented to the emergency surgical department with acute abdominal pain. One of them was diagnosed as having rectosigmoid perforation and underwent diversion sigmoid loop colostomy after primary closure of the perforation. The other was

a known case of carcinoma of the rectum who had already undergone low anterior resection with covering loop ileostomy; the patient underwent second loop ileostomy, this time for complicated intestinal obstruction. To the surprise, both the loop colostomy and ileostomy closed spontaneously at 8 weeks and 6 weeks, respectively, without any consequences. Spontaneous stoma closure is a rare and interesting event. The exact etiology for spontaneous closure remains unknown, but it may be hypothesized to result from slow retraction of the stoma, added to the concept of a tendency towards spontaneous closure of enterocutaneous fistula.¹²

Mean operative time was 76.2 minutes. Perioperative bleeding was the most common peri-operative complication found to be present in 20 percent of the patients. While postoperative pain was seen in 30 percent of the patients. Mean time to feeding postoperatively was 5.5 days. Ranjan Satish Kumar et al prospectively compared the morbidity and mortality associated with early closure and late closure. A total of 47 ileostomies were made for various indications. Patients were divided into two cohorts by the time of stoma closure. Early closure group in which stoma was closed within 4-6 weeks and late closure group in which stoma was closed after 90 days. No colostomy was closed early. So the observations are on early closure of ileostomy only. Total 15 patients were taken up for ileostomy closure between 4-6 weeks (EC group) and remaining 32 were late closure (LC group). Only 4 patients in early closure group had minor complication of skin excoriation. Whereas in late closure group 17 patients had minor complication of prolapse plus skin excoriation and 1 patient presented with obstruction requiring relaparotomy and re-stoma formation. There was no instance of anastomotic leak, intraabdominal abscess, or mortality in EC group and only single case developed entero cutaneous fistula but was managed conservatively. The study clearly highlights the potential advantages of early closure of ileostomy without any added morbidity or mortality and is a feasible alternative to a more conventional delayed approach, provided careful selection of patients is done. This significantly cuts down the convalescence period of the patient and helps him to live a better quality of life much earlier.13 Suryavanshi P et al retrospectively analyzed ileal perforation cases treated with either loop ileostomy or tube ileostomy at the centre during last 3 years. A total of 50 ileal perforation cases treated with ileostomy either tube ileostomy (n = 21) or conventional loop ileostomy (n = 29) were included for study and their immediate and late post operative complications were recorded and analysed. They found that tube ileostomy is a safe and effective mode of diversion and is associated with minimal stoma related morbidity like peristomal excoriation, hernia, retraction, prolapse, obstruction etc.14

CONCLUSION

Conventional stoma closure is safe and feasible when patients are selected appropriately.

REFERENCES

1. Chow A, Tilney HS, Paraskeva P, Jeyarajah S, Zacharakis E, Purkayastha S. The morbidity surrounding reversal of defunctioning ileostomies: a systematic review of 48 studies including 6,107 cases. International journal of colorectal disease. 2009 Jun;24(6):711-23.

2. Samiullah, M Ali, S Rahman, A Khan, Y Khalily. Evaluation of early closure of ileostomy. Gomal Journ of Med Sci. 2010;8(2):143-5.

3. Zida M, Ouedraogo T, Bandre E, Bonkoungou GP, Sanou A, Traore SS. Primary ileostomy for typhoid-related ileal perforation: a 62-case series in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Medicine tropicale: revue du Corps de sante colonial. 2010;70(3):267-8.

4. Luglio G, Pendlimari R, Holubar SD, Cima RR, Nelson H. Loop ileostomy reversal after colon and rectal surgery: a single institutional 5-year experience in 944 patients. Archives of Surgery. 2011 Oct 1;146(10):1191-6.

5. Omundsen M, Hayes J, Collinson R, Merrie A, Parry B, Bissett I. Early ileostomy closure: is there a downside? ANZ J Surg. 2012;82(5):352-4.

6. Memon S, Heriot AG, Atkin CE, Lynch AC. Facilitated early ileostomy closure after rectal cancer surgery: a case-matched study. Techniques in coloproctology. 2012 Aug;16(4):285-90.

7. Garg N, Charokar K. Early ileostomy closure following emergency bowel surgery: a feasible approach. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences. 2014 May 19:3(20):5408-17.

8. Bugiantella W, Rondelli F, Mariani L, Boni M, Ermili F, Avenia N, Mariani E. Temporary percutaneous ileostomy for faecal diversion after intestinal resection for acute abdomen in elderly: how to avoid the conventional loop ileostomy. International Journal of Surgery. 2014 Oct 1;12:S144-7.

9. Rubio-Perez I, Leon M, Pastor D, Dominguez JD, Cantero R. Increased postoperative complications after protective ileostomy closure delay: An institutional study. World journal of gastrointestinal surgery. 2014 Sep 27;6(9):169.

10. Mittal S, Singh H, Munghate A, Singh G, Garg A, Sharma J. A Comparative Study between the outcome of Primary Repair

versus Loop Ileostomy in Ileal Perforation. Surgery Research and Practice. 2014;2014:4-9.

11. Poskus E, Kildusis E, Smolskas E, Ambrazevicius M, Strupas K. Complications after loop ileostomy closure: a retrospective analysis of 132 patients. Visceral Medicine. 2014;30(4):276-80.

12. Pandit N, Singh H, Kumar H, Gupta R, Verma GR. Spontaneous closure of stoma. Gastroenterology report. 2016 Nov 1;4(4):325-7.

13. Kumar RS, Prakash O, Aftab A. Comparative study of early and delayed loop ileostomy and colostomy closure", International Journal of Current Research. 2016; 8, (09), 38158-38160.

14. Suryavanshi P, Rai A, Suryavanshi A, Singh R. A retrospective comparative analysis of two different techniques of small bowel diversion in Ileal perforation cases: a single centre experience. Int J Med Res Rev 2016;4(12):2142- 2146.

Source of Support: Nil.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: K Vishal Vikas. Analysis of Conventional lleostomy Closure Outcome in Enteric Perforation. Int J Med Res Prof. 2017 Nov; 3(6):542-44. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2017.3.6.123